



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,170	02/18/2004	James W. Cornett	2003P02869US01	1812

7590 07/19/2011
Elsa Keller
SIEMENS CORPORATION
Intellectual Property Dept.
170 Wood Avenue South
Iselin, NJ 08830

EXAMINER

BENOIT, ESTHER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2453

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/19/2011

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/781,170	Applicant(s) CORNETT ET AL.	
	Examiner ESTHER BENOIT	Art Unit 2453	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/22/2011.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5-8,10-24 and 26-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5-8,10-24 and 26-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendments

1. This Action is in response to a Request for Continued Examination filed on April 22, 2011. Claims 1, and 27-29 have been amended. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-24, and 26-29 are pending in this application.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed March 10, 2011, have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Cornett et al. (US 7,890,212 B2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-3, 7-8, 10-13, and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cornett et al. (US 7,890,212 B2).

With respect to claim 1, Cornett discloses a method for configuring a network interface device from an information device communicating with the network interface device via a network (Figure 2 and Col. 4, lines 19-26, *configuring position module from a PC*), the network interface device adaptable to connect a programmable logic controller to a network (Col. 5, lines 51-57, *position module connected to PLC*)

- automatically enforcing, via a wizard at the information device, user compliance with a plurality of predetermined steps for a computer-assisted configuration of the network interface device (Figure 2 and Col. 6, lines 8-19, *wizard to configure position module*), the computer-assisted configuration relating to an OSI transport layer or above (Figure 1, 13A, and Col. 5, lines 12-23, *where interaction with application programs for web-based communication resides at the application layer of the OSI model*)
- providing at least one setting to the network interface device from the information device via the network (Col. 6, lines 8-19, *downloaded configuration to position module*)
- receiving a setting of a logical position of the network interface device relative to the programmable logic controller (Col. 10, lines 42-57, *Q address*).

With respect to claim 2, Cornett discloses requesting, from a user, the at least one setting for the network interface device (Col. 10, lines 42-57)

With respect to claim 3, Cornett discloses receiving the at least one setting for the network interface device (Col. 10, lines 42-57)

With respect to claim 7, Cornett discloses determining the at least one setting for the network interface device (Col. 10, lines 42-57)

With respect to claim 8, Cornett discloses configuring the network interface device with the at least one setting (Col. 10, lines 42-57)

With respect to claim 10, Cornett discloses receiving a Q-address used by an input/output module attached to the programmable logic controller, the input/output module further couplable to the network interface device (Col. 10, lines 42-57)

With respect to claim 11, Cornett discloses verifying the at least one setting for the network interface device (Col. 11, lines 10-21)

With respect to claim 12, Cornett discloses wherein the network interface device is adaptable to communicatively couple the programmable logic controller to an Ethernet network (Col. 5, lines 12-23)

With respect to claim 13, Cornett discloses wherein the network interface device is adaptable to communicatively couple the programmable logic controller to the Internet (Col. 5, lines 51-57)

With respect to claim 26, Cornett discloses wherein said plurality of predetermined steps comprises a help utility (Col. 4, lines 41-49)

With respect to claims 27-29, the limitations of claims 27-29 are similar to the limitations of claim 1. Therefore, the claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 above. Please see rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cornett et al. (US 7,890,212 B2), in view of Gauthier et al. (US 6,502,234 B1).

With respect to claim 5, Cornett does not explicitly disclose receiving a count of network connections for the network interface device

However, Mattson discloses receiving a count of network connections for the network interface device (Figure 8)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Cornett with the teachings of Mattson to provide a count of network connections, *because* it will allow for topological information regarding the network and the device to be obtained.

With respect to claim 6, Cornett does not explicitly disclose receiving a type for at least one network connection to the network interface device

However, Mattson discloses receiving a type for at least one network connection to the network interface device (Figure 8)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Cornett with the teachings of Mattson to provide a type of network connections, *because* it will allow for topological information regarding the network and the device to be obtained.

7. Claims 14-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cornett et al. (US 7,890,212 B2), in view of Gauthier et al. (US 6,502,234 B1).

With respect to claims 14-24, Cornett does not explicitly disclose the predetermined steps are adaptable for the functions listed.

However, the word “adaptable”, in its ordinary meaning, is defined as “capable of being” or “able to adjust”. The plurality of predetermined steps of these claims are capable of being or able to adjust using the wizard program that is user defined, wherein, the wizard program found in Gauthier teaches customizing the program to carry out any steps desired by the user (Col. 2, lines 45-56 and Col. 15, lines 25-35)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Cornett with the teachings of

Art Unit: 2453

Gauthier to provide a wizard for customized functions, *because* it will allow for users to define the wizard capabilities for configuring a device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Esther Benoit whose telephone number is 571-270-3807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 7:30 a.m and 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Krista M. Zele can be reached on 571-272-7288. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2453

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

E.B.

July 14, 2011

/Krista M. Zele/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2453